

**COLLABORATIVE CENTRE
EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S REPORT FORM**

Each External Examiner for the University of Wales is required to submit a report of each Examination Board to the Chair of the Academic Board of the University. You are asked to complete this report immediately following the marking period and to return it to the Validation Unit. **A separate report should be completed for each distinct scheme examined (different pathways within the same scheme should be included in the same report).**

Since this form will be photocopied, you are required to complete it in typescript. Your report need not be restricted to the areas given below and you should feel free to comment on any matters which you deem appropriate. Constructive suggestions for future action are particularly welcomed.

Please return your completed form to the University of Wales Validation Unit, University of Wales Registry, King Edward VII Avenue, Cathays Park, CARDIFF, CF10 3NS or you may email it to validation@wales.ac.uk (a signed hard copy should also be posted to the Unit).

Payment of fees and expenses will be authorised once the report and expenses claim form has been received at the Validation Unit.

Name of Examiner: Stephen Bloomfield.....

Title of Scheme of Study and subject(s) examined: MBA

Collaborative Centre: IBAT Dublin.....

The report given below is in respect of work conducted in session/semester 2011

Signed: Stephen Bloomfield

Dated: 11/02/2012.....

Section A

Please respond to the following questions by deleting the answers as appropriate. Any comments on these may be made on the following page.

1.	Did you receive, at the appropriate time, all the necessary information on the scheme of study and its assessment?	Yes		
2.	Were the learning outcomes of the scheme of study clearly defined and appropriate to the subject matter and the students?	Yes		
3.	Were the course structure and content appropriate to the scheme's learning outcomes?	Yes		
4.	Were you asked to approve all examination papers and/or coursework assessment schedules contributing to the final award for which you had responsibility?	Yes		
5.	Were the methods of assessment well-balanced and fair?	Yes		
6.	Did they reflect the scheme's learning outcomes?	Yes		
7.	Did the examinations\assessments cover the whole subject area of the scheme?	Yes		
8.	Were examination\assessment procedures and the schemes for marking and classification correctly applied?	Yes		
9.	Were you satisfied with the standard and consistency of marking applied by internal examiners?	Yes		
10.	In your judgement, did you have the opportunity to examine a sufficient cross-section of candidates' work contributing to the final assessment?	Yes		
11.	Was the Examining Board conducted properly and in accordance with established procedures?	Yes		
12.	Were the arrangements for your participation in the assessment process (including full membership of Board) satisfactory?	Yes		
13.	Were you asked to comment on any changes to the assessment of the scheme?	Yes		
14.	Had proper consideration been given to any recommendation made by you or by the previous External Examiner in last session's report?	Yes		
15.	Were the standards appropriate by reference to published national subject benchmarks, national qualifications frameworks and programme specifications (see also section B point 2)? (To be completed by UK External Examiners only.)	Yes		
16.	If applicable, were standards and content of the programme equivalent to other courses of the same title within the University of Wales (or UK) with which you are familiar?	Yes		
17.	Were you satisfied that the Moderator undertook their duties appropriately and efficiently?	Yes		

18.	Were you satisfied with the apparent interaction between the University of Wales and the collaborative centre?	Yes		
19.	Were you satisfied with the interaction between the University of Wales and yourself?	Yes		

Further comments:

Standards of preparation, assessment and review appear to be of very high standards

Section B

Please comment on the following:

1. How did the knowledge and skills (both general and subject specific) demonstrated by the candidates compare with those shown by students at other comparable institutions with which you are familiar?

Yes

2. Did the scheme of study, and student attainment, equate with the expectations of relevant QAA benchmark statements/PSRB requirements?

Yes

3. What lessons may be drawn about the scheme of study and the quality of teaching as indicated by student performance?

The college appears to be serving its students well

4. In what ways, if any, should the scheme be reviewed or revised?

None obvious

5. Please comment on any issues relating to course management/administration you would like to draw to the attention of the Validation Unit.

See above

6. Do you have any concerns about the future operation of the Validated Programme?

No

7. Are there any examples of good practice that you would like to highlight to the Validation Unit?

Standards generally appear to be high

Any further comments

None

Section C

Please list any recommendations for which you would like a written response from the Institution. These will be followed up in the Annual College and Course Review.

RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY EXTERNAL EXAMINER